

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Potent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/837,301	04/11/1997	ALASDAIR C. STEVEN	14014.0327	7408	
75	90 08/08/2002				
GWENDOLYN D. SPRATT, ESQ. NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1200,THE CANDLER BUILDING			EXAMINER		
			COOK, LISA V		
127 PEACHTREE STREET,N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30303-1811			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/837,301	STEVEN ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa V. Cook	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 January 2002</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the control of the control			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.		•	
Claim(s) rejected: 57-67.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 68-97.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		·	
10. Other:	Chital L. Chi CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800/641	Ofisa & Cook 8/5/02	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

 $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 57 where in "is bound to" is replaced by "interacts with" will not be entered because the new claim language does not have support in the instant disclosure. All of the cited passages of the disclosure recite bound to, the phrase "interact with" is not found. Thus the defined meaning of 'interact with" is not clear nor supported. Therefore new rejections with respect to 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs would be required.

WC. 8/5/02